

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,486	10/25/2005	Johannes Georg Schaede	1204.1119101	6552
28075 CROMPTON	7590 01/21/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, I	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/554,486	SCHAEDE, JOHANNES GEORG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel M. Heinrich	3742		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Extrassions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event of the provision of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will refuge to the provision of the companied provision of the Cff. Set of the provision of the Cff. Set of the provision of the provision of the Cff. Set of the provision of the provision of the Cff. Set of the provision of the Cff. Set of the Provision of the provision of the Cff. Set of the Provision of the Provision of the Cff. Set of the Provision of the	S COMMUNICATION. bowever, may a reply be timely filed expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is nor	n-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election rec	uirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accept	ated or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ir 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the priority documents have been copies.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifie	* "				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	I) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/2005.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/554,486

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 describes "said substrate is aspirated", but this is not a clear description of holding the substrate against a bottom wall of the aspiration box.

Claim 2 describes "evacuate the cut part by aspiration" which is not a clear description.

Claim 9 describes "air under depression" which is not idiomatic language.

Claim 10 describes "evacuated by aspiration" which is not a clear description.

The dependent claims contain the unclear language of the base claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 4,039,799 to Stumpf in view of USPN 6,864,190 to Han et al in view of USPN 6,823,763 to Foster et al in view of USPN 6,907,798 to Ganser et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,486

Art Unit: 3742

Stumpf describe XY translation laser cutter and aspiration or vacuum holding table.

Han et al describe (Figure 2) laser fabrication using a fastener 203 which holds workpiece 200 against holder 202.

Foster et al describe vacuum plenum 610 which is used to transport workpieces in a laser cutting system.

Ganser et all describe (column 4, lines 25-35) laser cutting with elements falling into a container.

The instant claimed aspiration means and evacuation means would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in combination with an XY laser cutting means in order to positively fixture the workpiece and to efficiently remove cut elements.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 4,039,799 to Stumpf in view of USPN 6,864,190 to Han et al in view of USPN 6,823,763 to Foster et al in view of USPN 6,907,798 to Ganser et al as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of USPN 4,527,042 to Shinohara et al.

Shinohara describe glass window 20 through which laser 15 is irradiated against a workpiece. The use of a glass window in a vacuum work fixture would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to separate the laser apparatus from the laser beam work spot.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 4.039,799 to Stumpf in view of USPN 6.864.190 to Han et al in view of USPN 6.823,763

Application/Control Number: 10/554,486

Art Unit: 3742

to Foster et al in view of USPN 6,907,798 to Ganser et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 5.337.639 to Morrison.

Morrison pertains to laser cutting and Morrison describes (column 1, lines 59+) well known bar and grippers which pull work through stations on a continuous chain and the use thereof would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art for continuous process operation.

Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 4,039,799 to Stumpf in view of USPN 6,864,190 to Han et al in view of USPN 6,823,763 to Foster et al in view of USPN 6,907,798 to Ganser et al as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of USPN 6,343,639 to Kaye et al.

Kaye et al describe (e.g., Abstract) well known forming station and subsequent lay-up station to form a laminate and the use of these two stations, or units, would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to rapidly process raw work pieces into finished product.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B. Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742